

Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard

tion of Compliance -**Attestation of Compliance for Report** on Compliance - Service Providers

Version 4.0

Revision 2

Publication Date: August 2023



PCI DSS v4.0 Attestation of Compliance for Report on **Compliance – Service Providers**

Entity Name: Equinix, Inc

Assessment End Date: November 1, 2024

npliar Date of Report as noted in the Report on Compliance: November 15, 2024 98WigWignign



Section 1: Assessment Information

Instructions for Submission

This Attestation of Compliance (AOC) must be completed as a declaration of the results of the service provider's assessment against the *Payment Card Industry Data Security Standard (PCI DSS) Requirements and Testing Procedures ("Assessment")*. Complete all sections. The service provider is responsible for ensuring that each section is completed by the relevant parties, as applicable. Contact the entity(ies) to which this AOC will be submitted for reporting and submission procedures.

This AOC reflects the results documented in an associated Report on Compliance (ROC). Associated ROC sections are noted in each AOC Part/Section below.

Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined in this document have the meanings set forth in the PCI DSS Report on Compliance Template.

Part 1. Contact Information	-8.
Part 1a. Assessed Entity (ROC Section 1.1)	370.70
Company name:	Equinix, Inc
DBA (doing business as):	Equinix
Company mailing address:	11 Devonshire Square, London, EC2M 4YR
Company main website:	www.equinix.com
Company contact name:	Hitesh Jivani
Company contact title:	Senior Manager, Global Operations Compliance
Contact phone number:	+44.(0)207.531.8629
Contact e-mail address:	Hitesh.Jivani@eu.equinix.com
Part 1b. Assessor	

Part 1b. Assessor (ROC Section 1.1)

Provide the following information for all assessors involved in the Assessment. If there was no assessor for a given assessor type, enter Not Applicable.

PCI SSC Internal Security Assessor(s)		
ISA name(s):	Not Applicable.	
Qualified Security Assessor		
Company name:	Schellman Compliance, LLC	
Company mailing address:	4010 W Boy Scout Boulevard, Suite 600, Tampa, FL 33607	
Company website:	https://www.schellman.com/services/pci-compliance	
Lead Assessor name:	Mark Hatfield	
Assessor phone number:	866.254.0000 ext. 927	
Assessor e-mail address:	mark.hatfield@schellman.com	
Assessor certificate number:	QSA, Credential ID: 206-674	



Part 2. Executive Summary					
Part 2a. Scope Verification					
Services that were <u>INCLUDED</u> in the scope of the Assessment (select all that apply):					
Name of service(s) assessed:	Colocation Data Center Housing Se	ervices			
Type of service(s) assessed:					
Hosting Provider:	Managed Services:	Payment Processing:			
☐ Applications / software	☐ Systems security services	☐ POI / card present			
☐ Hardware	☐ IT support	☐ Internet / e-commerce			
☐ Infrastructure / Network	☐ Physical security	☐ MOTO / Call Center			
□ Physical space (co-location)	☐ Terminal Management System	☐ ATM			
☐ Storage	☐ Other services (specify):	Other processing (specify):			
□ Web-hosting services		7 . 7			
☐ Security services	0.0				
☐ 3-D Secure Hosting Provider	00000				
☐ Multi-Tenant Service Provider					
☐ Other Hosting (specify):	(O) V	.0			
☐ Account Management	☐ Fraud and Chargeback	☐ Payment Gateway/Switch			
☐ Back-Office Services	☐ Issuer Processing	☐ Prepaid Services			
☐ Billing Management	☐ Loyalty Programs	☐ Records Management			
☐ Clearing and Settlement	☐ Merchant Services	☐ Tax/Government Payments			
☐ Network Provider					
☐ Others (specify):					
Note: These categories are provided for assistance only and are not intended to limit or predetermine an entity's service description. If these categories do not apply to the assessed service, complete "Others." If it is not clear whether a category could apply to the assessed service, consult with the entity(ies) to which this AOC will be submitted.					



Part 2. Executive Summary (continued)				
Part 2a. Scope Verification (continued)				
Services that are provided by the service provider but were <u>NOT INCLUDED</u> in the scope of the Assessment (select all that apply):				
Name of service(s) not assessed:	All other services	offered by Equinix		
Type of service(s) not assessed:				
Hosting Provider:	Managed Service	es:	Payment Processing:	
☐ Applications / software	☐ Systems secur	ity services	☐ POI / card present	
☐ Hardware	☐ IT support		☐ Internet / e-commerce	
	☐ Physical secur	ity	☐ MOTO / Call Center	
☐ Physical space (co-location)	☐ Terminal Mana	gement System	☐ ATM	
☐ Storage	☐ Other services	(specify):	☐ Other processing (specify):	
☐ Web-hosting services		2		
☐ Security services		10	, 0	
☐ 3-D Secure Hosting Provider		0	50	
☐ Multi-Tenant Service Provider	6	0,4	1,	
☐ Other Hosting (specify):	10			
	. 0	1		
Account Management	☐ Fraud and Cha	ırgeback	☐ Payment Gateway/Switch	
☐ Back-Office Services	☐ Issuer Process	ing	☐ Prepaid Services	
☐ Billing Management	☐ Loyalty Programs		☐ Records Management	
☐ Clearing and Settlement	☐ Merchant Services		☐ Tax/Government Payments	
☐ Network Provider	1			
Others (specify):	7.7			
Provide a brief explanation why any checked services were not included in the Assessment:		Only the physical security (data center/colocation) services offered by Equinix were included within the scope of this assessment. All services that fall under Interconnection, Connectivity, Network or Managed Services were not included within the scope of this assessment		
Part 2b. Description of Role with (ROC Section 2.1)	Payment Cards			
Describe how the business stores, processes, and/or transmits account data.		data. Customers systems and data power, and enviro	store, process, or transmit cardholder are responsible for all access to a. Equinix provides secure space, commental controls for merchants and because of which are PCI compliant.	



	Equinix has no logical access to any customer systems that may contain cardholder data.
Describe how the business is otherwise involved in or has the ability to impact the security of its customers' account data.	Equinix customers are responsible for all access to systems and data. Equinix has no logical access to any customer system that may contain cardholder data, and its managed and network Services are not within the scope of this assessment.
Describe system components that could impact the security of account data.	Equinix provides secure physical infrastructure (access controls, surveillance, environmental systems); customers are responsible for securing and maintaining system components to protect account data in compliance with PCI DSS requirements.

Part 2. Executive Summary (continued)

Part 2c. Description of Payment Card Environment

Provide a high-level description of the environment covered by this Assessment.

For example:

- Connections into and out of the cardholder data environment (CDE).
- Critical system components within the CDE, such as POI devices, databases, web servers, etc., and any other necessary payment components, as applicable.
- System components that could impact the security of account data.

Equinix provides colocation services to merchants and service providers, some of which may store, process, or transmit cardholder data and fall under PCI compliance. Equinix does not store, process, or transmit cardholder data.

Indicate whether the environment includes segmentation to reduce the scope of the	☐ Yes	⊠ No
Assessment.		
(Refer to the "Segmentation" section of PCI DSS for guidance on segmentation)		

Part 2d. In-Scope Locations/Facilities (ROC Section 4.6)

List all types of physical locations/facilities (for example, corporate offices, data centers, call centers and mail rooms) in scope for this Assessment.

Facility Type	Total Number of Locations (How many locations of this type are in scope)	Location(s) of Facility (city, country)
Colocation	255	AMER • Brazil: RJ1, RJ2, SP1, SP2, SP3, SP4, SP5x, • Canada: CL1, CL2, CL3, KA1, MT1, MT2, OT1, SJ1, TR1, TR2, TR5, TR6, TR7, VA1, WI1



- Chile: ST1, ST2, ST3, ST4
- Colombia: BG1, BG2
- Mexico: MO1, MX1, MX2
- Peru: LM1
- Atlanta: AT1, AT4
- Boston: BO2
- Chicago: CH1, CH2, CH3, CH4, CH7
- Culpeper: CU1, CU2, CU3, CU4
- Dallas: DA1, DA2, DA3, DA4, DA6, DA7, DA9, DA11
- Denver: DE1, DE2
- · Washington, DC: DC1, DC2, DC3, DC4, DC5, DC6, DC7, DC10, DC11, DC12,
- DC13, DC14, DC15, DC16, DC21, DC97
- Houston: HO1
- Los Angeles: LA1, LA2, LA3, LA4, LA7
- Miami: MI1, MI2, MI3, MI6
- New York: NY1, NY2, NY4, NY5, NY6,
- NY7, NY9, NY11, NY13
- Philadelphia: PH1
- Seattle: SE2, SE3, SE4
- Silicon Valley: SV1, SV2, SV3, SV4, Jamian a popular SV5, SV8, SV10, SV11, SV12x, SV14,

SV15, SV16

APAC

- · Australia: SY1, SY2, SY3, SY4, SY5, SY6, SY7, SY9x, ME1, ME2, ME4, ME5,
- AE1, BR1, CA1, PE1, PE2, PE3
- China: HK1, HK2, HK3, HK4, HK5, SH2, SH3, SH5, SH6
- India: MB1, MB2, MB4
- Japan: TY1, TY2, TY3, TY4, TY5, TY6, TY7, TY8, TY9, TY10, TY11, TY12x, TY13x, OS1, OS2x, OS3, OS4x
- Malaysia: JH1, KL1
- South Korea: SL1, SL2x, SL4
- Singapore: SG1, SG2, SG3, SG4, SG5

EMEA

- Bulgaria: SO1, SO2
- Finland: HE3, HE4, HE5, HE6, HE7
- France: BX1, PA2, PA3, PA4, PA5, PA6,
- PA7, PA8x, PA9x, PA10, PA13x
- Germany: DU1, FR2, FR4, FR5, FR6, FR7, FR8, FR9x, FR11x, FR13, MU1,
- MU3, MU4, HH1
- Ireland: DB1, DB2, DB3, DB4, DB5x, DB6x
- Italy: GN1, ML2, ML3, ML5, ML7x
- Netherlands: AM1, AM2, AM3, AM4, AM5, AM6, AM7, AM8, AM11, EN1, ZW1
- Oman: MC1
- Poland: WA1, WA2, WA3, WA4x
- Portugal: LS1

Security Standards Council	
	 Spain: MD1, MD2, MD3x, MD6, BA1 Sweden: SK1, SK2, SK3 Switzerland: GV1, GV2, ZH2, ZH4, ZH5 Turkey: IL2 UAE: DX1, DX2, DX3, AD1 United Kingdom: LD3, LD4, LD5, LD6, LD7, LD8, LD9, LD10, LD13x, LD11x, MA1, MA3, MA4, MA5

Part 2. Executive Summary (continued)

Part 2e. PCI SSC Validated Products and Solutions (ROC Section 3.3)

Does the	entity use any item	identified on ar	ny PCI SSC	Lists of \	/alidated F	Products an	d Solutions	*1
☐ Yes	⊠ No							1

Provide the following information regarding each item the entity uses from PCI SSC's Lists of Validated

Name of PCI SSC- validated Product or Solution	Version of Product or Solution	PCI SSC Standard to which Product or Solution Was Validated	PCI SSC Listing Reference Number	Expiry Date of Listing
Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.	Not applicable.

Part 2f. Third-Party Service Providers (ROC Section 4.4)

Products and Solutions:

For the services being validated, does the entity have relationships with one or more third-party service providers that:

•	Store, process, or transmit account data on the entity's behalf (for example, payment gateways, payment processors, payment service providers (PSPs, and off-site storage))	□ Yes	⊠ No
•	Manage system components included in the entity's Assessment (for example, via network security control services, anti-malware services, security incident and event management (SIEM), contact and call centers, web-hosting companies, and IaaS, PaaS, SaaS, and FaaS cloud providers)	□ Yes	⊠ No
•	Could impact the security of the entity's CDE (for example, vendors providing support via remote access, and/or bespoke software developers).	□ Yes	⊠ No

For purposes of this document, "Lists of Validated Products and Solutions" means the lists of validated products, solutions, and/or components appearing on the PCI SSC website (www.pcisecuritystandards.org)—for example, 3DS Software Development Kits, Approved PTS Devices, Validated Payment Software, Payment Applications (PADSS), Point to Point Encryption (P2PE) solutions, Software-Based PIN Entry on COTS (SPoC) solutions, and Contactless Payments on COTS (CPoC) solutions.



If Yes:			
Name of Service Provider:	Description of Services Provided:		
Not applicable.	Not applicable.		
Note: Requirement 12.8 applies to all entities in this list.			



Part 2. Executive Summary (continued)

Part 2g. Summary of Assessment (ROC Section 1.8.1)

Indicate below all responses provided within each principal PCI DSS requirement.

For all requirements identified as either "Not Applicable" or "Not Tested," complete the "Justification for Approach" table below.

Note: One table to be completed for each service covered by this AOC. Additional copies of this section are available on the PCI SSC website.

Name of Service Assessed: Colocation Data Center Housing Services

PCI DSS Requirement	Requirement Finding More than one response may be selected for a given requirement. Indicate all responses that apply.				Select If Below Method(s) Was Used	
,	In Place	Not Applicable	Not Tested	Not in Place	Customized Approach	Compensating Controls
Requirement 1:		\boxtimes		00		
Requirement 2:		\boxtimes	\boxtimes			
Requirement 3:		\boxtimes	(B)			
Requirement 4:		\boxtimes	S, D "			
Requirement 5:			\boxtimes			
Requirement 6:			\boxtimes			
Requirement 7:	0 2		\boxtimes			
Requirement 8:		\boxtimes	\boxtimes			
Requirement 9:						
Requirement 10:	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			
Requirement 11:		\boxtimes	\boxtimes			
Requirement 12:	\boxtimes	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			
Appendix A1:		\boxtimes				
Appendix A2:		\boxtimes				
Justification for Approach						



- 1.1.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.1.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.2.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.2.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.2.3 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.2.4 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.2.5 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.2.6 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.2.7 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.2.8 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.3.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.3.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.3.3 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.4.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement..
- 1.4.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.4.3 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.4.4 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.4.5 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 1.5.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 2.3.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.1.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.1.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.2.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.3.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.3.1.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.

For any Not Applicable responses, identify which sub-requirements were not applicable and the reason.



- 3.3.1.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.3.1.3 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.3.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.3.3 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.4.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.4.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.5.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.5.1.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.5.1.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.5.1.3 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.6.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.6.1.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.6.1.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.6.1.3 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.6.1.4 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.7.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.7.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.7.3 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.7.4 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.7.5 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.7.6 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.7.7 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.7.8 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 3.7.9 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 4.1.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.



- 4.1.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 4.2.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 4.2.1.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 4.2.1.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 4.2.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.2.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.2.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.2.3 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.2.3.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.2.4 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.3.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.4.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.4.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.4.3 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.5.3 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.5.4 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.5.5 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.5.6 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 7.2.6 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.2.3 Not applicable. Interviews with Equinix personnel confirmed that Equinix did not have remote access to customer premises.
- 8.3.10 Not applicable. Interviews with Equinix personnel confirmed that Equinix did not provide customer users with access to cardholder data.
- 8.3.10.1 Not applicable. Interviews with Equinix personnel confirmed that Equinix did not provide customer users with access to cardholder data.
- 9.2.4 Not Applicable. Equinix provided only physical security for customer systems. Colocation customers were responsible for ensuring their systems were kept in a "locked" state when not in use.
- 9.4.1 Not Applicable. Equinix did not maintain media containing cardholder data. Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement
- 9.4.1.1 Not Applicable. Equinix did not maintain media containing cardholder data. Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement



- 9.4.1.2 Not Applicable. Equinix did not maintain media containing cardholder data. Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement
- 9.4.2 Not Applicable. Equinix did not maintain media containing cardholder data. Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement
- 9.4.3 Not Applicable. Equinix did not maintain media containing cardholder data. Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement
- 9.4.4 Not Applicable. Equinix did not maintain media containing cardholder data. Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement
- 9.4.5 Not Applicable. Equinix did not maintain media containing cardholder data. Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement
- 9.4.5.1 Not Applicable. Equinix did not maintain media containing cardholder data. Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement
- 9.4.6 Not Applicable. Equinix did not maintain media containing cardholder data. Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement
- 9.4.7 Not Applicable. Equinix did not maintain media containing cardholder data. Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement
- 9.5.1 Not Applicable. Equinix did not maintain any POS devices. Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 9.5.1.1 Not Applicable. Equinix did not maintain any POS devices. Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 9.5.1.2 Not Applicable. Equinix did not maintain any POS devices. Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 9.5.1.2.1 Not Applicable. Equinix did not maintain any POS devices. Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 9.5.1.3 Not Applicable. Equinix did not maintain any POS devices. Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.2.1.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.2.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.2.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.3.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.3.1.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.3.1.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.3.1.3 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.3.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.3.2.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.4.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.4.2 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.4.3 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.



- 11.4.4 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.4.5 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.4.6 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.4.7 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.5.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.5.1.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.6.1 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 12.3.2 Not applicable. The customized approach was not utilized to fulfill any requirements in this assessment.
- 12.5.3 Not applicable. This requirement is a best practice until March 31, 2025.
- 12.8.1 Not Applicable. Equinix did not utilize service providers in relation to the assessed service.
- 12.8.2 Not Applicable. Equinix did not utilize service providers in relation to the assessed service.
- 12.8.3 Not Applicable. Equinix did not utilize service providers in relation to the assessed service.
- 12.8.4 Not Applicable. Equinix did not utilize service providers in relation to the assessed service.
- 12.8.5 Not Applicable. Equinix did not utilize service providers in relation to the assessed service.
- 12.10.7 Not Applicable. Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- A1.1.1 Not applicable. Equinix was not a multi-tenant service provider.
- A1.1.2 Not applicable. Equinix was not a multi-tenant service provider.
- A1.1.3 Not applicable. Equinix was not a multi-tenant service provider.
- A1.1.4 Not applicable. Equinix was not a multi-tenant service provider.
- A1.2.1 Not applicable. Equinix was not a multi-tenant service provider.
- A1.2.2 Not applicable. Equinix was not a multi-tenant service provider.
- A1.2.3 Not applicable. Equinix was not a multi-tenant service provider.
- A2.1.1 Not applicable. Equinix did not use SSL/Early TLS or POI terminals
- A2.1.2 Not applicable. Equinix did not use SSL/Early TLS or POI terminals
- A2.1.3 Not applicable. Equinix did not use SSL/Early TLS or POI terminals



- 2.1.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 2.1.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 2.2.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 2.2.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 2.2.3 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 2.2.4 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 2.2.5 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 2.2.6 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 2.2.7 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 2.3.1 Based on the scope of services, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 5.1.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 5.1.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 5.2.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 5.2.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 5.2.3 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 5.2.3.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 5.3.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 5.3.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.

For any Not Tested responses, identify which sub-requirements were not tested and the reason.



- 5.3.2.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 5.3.3 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 5.3.4 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 5.3.5 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 5.4.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.1.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.1.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.3.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.3.3 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.5.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 6.5.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 7.1.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 7.1.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 7.2.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 7.2.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 7.2.3 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 7.2.4 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.



- 7.2.5 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 7.2.5.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 7.3.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 7.3.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 7.3.3 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.1.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.1.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.2.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.2.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.2.4 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.2.5 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.2.6 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.2.7 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.2.8 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.3.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.3.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.3.3 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.



- 8.3.4 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.3.5 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.3.6 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.3.7 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.3.8 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.3.9 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.3.11 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.4.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.4.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.4.3 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.5.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.6.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.6.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 8.6.3 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.1.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.1.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.2.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.



- 10.2.1.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.2.1.3 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.2.1.4 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.2.1.5 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.2.1.6 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.2.1.7 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.2.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.3.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.3.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.3.3 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.3.4 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.4.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.4.1.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.4.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.4.2.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.4.3 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.5.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.



- 10.6.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.6.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 10.6.3 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.1.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.1.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 11.5.2 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 12.2.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 12.3.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 12.3.3 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 12.3.4 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.
- 12.5.1 The logical access and configuration management controls of the physical access systems were not tested. For all other system-level controls, Equinix customers were responsible for complying with this requirement.

99Wigion Mg



Section 2 Report on Compliance

(ROC Sections 1.2 and 1.3.2)

Date Assessment began: Note: This is the first date that evidence was g	September 16, 2024		
Date Assessment ended: Note: This is the last date that evidence was ga	November 1, 2024		
Were any requirements in the ROC unable to b	constraint?	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Were any testing activities performed remotely of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of the second section of the section of	⊠ Yes □ No		
Examine documentation	⊠ Yes	□ No	\bigcirc
Interview personnel	⊠ Yes	□ No	
Examine/observe live data	⊠ Yes	□ No	
Observe process being performed	⊠ Yes	□ No	
Observe physical environment □ Yes ☑ No			
Interactive testing	□ Yes	⊠ No	
Other: Not Applicable	☐ Yes	⊠ No	
93WigiUigiUigh	52.5		



Section 3 Validation and Attestation Details

Part 3. PCI DSS Validation (ROC Section 1.7)

	orr orboo randanon (reo o				
This	AOC is based on results noted in	n the ROC dated November 15, 2024.			
Indic	ate below whether a full or partial P	PCI DSS assessment was completed:			
	all Assessment – All requirements Not Tested in the ROC.	have been assessed and therefore no requirements were marked			
		equirements have not been assessed and were therefore marked as Not ot assessed is noted as Not Tested in Part 2g above.			
as ap		ROC noted above, each signatory identified in any of Parts 3b-3d, mpliance status for the entity identified in Part 2 of this document			
	Compliant: All sections of the PCI DSS ROC are complete, and all assessed requirements are marked as being either In Place or Not Applicable, resulting in an overall COMPLIANT rating; thereby <i>Equinix, Inc</i> has demonstrated compliance with all PCI DSS requirements except those noted as Not Tested above.				
	Non-Compliant: Not all sections of the PCI DSS ROC are complete, or one or more requirements are marked as Not in Place, resulting in an overall NON-COMPLIANT rating; thereby Equinix, Inc has not demonstrated compliance with PCI DSS requirements. Target Date for Compliance: Not Applicable. An entity submitting this form with a Non-Compliant status may be required to complete the Action Plan in Part 4 of this document. Confirm with the entity to which this AOC will be submitted before completing Part 4.				
	as Not in Place due to a legal rest assessed requirements are marke COMPLIANT BUT WITH LEGAL	otion: One or more assessed requirements in the ROC are marked triction that prevents the requirement from being met and all other ed as being either In Place or Not Applicable, resulting in an overall EXCEPTION rating; thereby <i>Equinix</i> , <i>Inc</i> has demonstrated uirements except those noted as Not Tested above or as Not in Place			
	This option requires additional review from the entity to which this AOC will be submitted. If selected, complete the following:				
	Affected Requirement Details of how legal constraint prevents requirement from being met				



Part 3. PCI DSS Validation (continued)					
Part 3a. Service Provider Acknowledgement					
Signatory(s) confirms: (Select all that apply)					
	The ROC was completed according to <i>PCI DSS</i> , Version 4.0 and was completed according to the instructions therein.				
	All information within the above-referenced ROC and in this attestation fairly represents the results of the Assessment in all material respects.				
\boxtimes	PCI DSS controls will be maintained at all time	es, as a	applicable to the entity's environment.		
			8.		
Part	3b. Service Provider Attestation		310, 40		
Signed by:			1.00.		
Signa	A99BF989948E ature of Service Provider Executive Officer ↑		Date: 11/15/2024		
Servi	ce Provider Executive Officer Name: Hitesh Jiva	ani	Title: Senior Manager, Global Operations Compliance		
		2/0	- ALV		
Part	3c. Qualified Security Assessor (QSA) Ackno	wledg	gement		
	SA was involved or assisted with this ssment, indicate the role performed:	QSA p	erformed testing procedures.		
71000			rovided other assistance. I, describe all role(s) performed: Independent Assessor		
signed by: Mark Hatfield					
Signature of Lead QSA 1			Date: 11/15/2024		
Lead QSA Name: Mark Hatfield					
DocuSigned by:					
Signature of Duly Authorized Officer of QSA Company 1			Date: 11/15/2024		
Duly Authorized Officer Name: Adam Bush			QSA Company: Schellman Compliance, LLC		
·					
Part 3d. PCI SSC Internal Security Assessor (ISA) Involvement					
If an ISA(s) was involved or assisted with this		☐ ISA(s) performed testing procedures.			
Assessment, indicate the role performed:			☐ ISA(s) provided other assistance.		
If selected, describ			lected, describe all role(s) performed: Not Applicable.		



Part 4. Action Plan for Non-Compliant Requirements

Only complete Part 4 upon request of the entity to which this AOC will be submitted, and only if the Assessment has Non-Compliant results noted in Section 3.

If asked to complete this section, select the appropriate response for "Compliant to PCI DSS Requirements" for each requirement below. For any "No" responses, include the date the entity expects to be compliant with the requirement and provide a brief description of the actions being taken to meet the requirement.

PCI DSS Requirement	Description of Requirement	Compliant to PCI DSS Requirements (Select One)		Remediation Date and Actions (If "NO" selected for any
		YES	NO	Requirement)
1	Install and maintain network security controls	\boxtimes		Refer to part 2g for requirement applicability.
2	Apply secure configurations to all system components		0	Refer to part 2g for requirement applicability.
3	Protect stored account data	\boxtimes		Refer to part 2g for requirement applicability.
4	Protect cardholder data with strong cryptography during transmission over open, public networks			Refer to part 2g for requirement applicability.
5	Protect all systems and networks from malicious software	×		Refer to part 2g for requirement applicability.
6	Develop and maintain secure systems and software	×		Refer to part 2g for requirement applicability.
7	Restrict access to system components and cardholder data by business need to know	×		Refer to part 2g for requirement applicability.
8	Identify users and authenticate access to system components	×		Refer to part 2g for requirement applicability.
9	Restrict physical access to cardholder data	×		Refer to part 2g for requirement applicability.
10	Log and monitor all access to system components and cardholder data	\boxtimes		Refer to part 2g for requirement applicability.
11	Test security systems and networks regularly	\boxtimes		Refer to part 2g for requirement applicability.
12	Support information security with organizational policies and programs	\boxtimes		Refer to part 2g for requirement applicability.
Appendix A1	Additional PCI DSS Requirements for Multi- Tenant Service Providers	\boxtimes		Refer to part 2g for requirement applicability.
Appendix A2	Additional PCI DSS Requirements for Entities using SSL/early TLS for Card-Present POS POI Terminal Connections	×		Refer to part 2g for requirement applicability.











